PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D	1	2	OCT	2005
WIPO				PC

Applicant's PC25320	or agent's file DA	reference	FOR FURTHER A		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694			International filing date 08.11.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.11.2003
		sification (IPC) or na 104, A61P31/04	ational classification and li	PC	
Applicant PFIZER	PRODUCT	S INC. et al.	/		
Auti	nority under A	Article 35 and trar	nsmitted to the applican	it according to Article 36	s International Preliminary Examining i.
2. This	REPORT co	onsists of a total o	of 7 sheets, Including th	nis cover sheet.	
3. This		•	y ANNEXES, comprisir	•	
a. [au) a total of sheets, as	
	and/o	ts of the description or sheets containing nistrative Instructi	ng rectifications authori	ngs which have been an zed by this Authority (se	nended and are the basis of this report se Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	beyo	ts which supersec nd the disclosure lemental Box.	le earlier sheets, but wind in the international app	hich this Authority consideration as filed, as indic	ders contain an amendment that goes ated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
ь. С	sequence	listing and/or tab	les related thereto, in c	ndicate type and number computer readable form of 2 of the Administrative li	r of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental nstructions).
4. This	report conta	ins indications re	lating to the following it	ems:	
	Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion		
	Box No. II	Priority			
⊠ 1	Box No. III	Non-establishme	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of i			•
_	Box No. V	Reasoned states applicability; cita	ment under Article 35(2 itions and explanations	2) with regard to novelty, supporting such statem	inventive step or industrial ent
	Box No. VI	Certain docume			
	Box No. VII		in the international appl		•
IZI I	Box No. VIII	Certain observat	tions on the Internation	al application	
Date of sub	omission of the	demand		Date of completion of this	report
17.12.20	04			11.10.2005	
		ss of the internation	al	Authorized Officer	as Pana.
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016		as	Rankin, R	The second of th	
		Telephone No. +31 70 34	10-		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694

_					
	Box	c No. I	Basis of the r	eport	
1.	. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		This reward	eport is based of is the language	n translations from the of a translation furnis	original language into the following language , ned for the purposes of:
		☐ pul	blication of the ir	n (under Rules 12.3 a nternational applicatio inary examination (ur	nd 23.1(b)) n (under Rule 12.4) der Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2. With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets we have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				Sponse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this	
	Des	cription	ı, Pages	•	
	1-38	-	.,	as originally filed	
	Clai	ms, Nu	mbers		
	1-18	-		as originally filed	
	Dear	inaa	Chaota		
		wings,	Sneets		•
	1-2			as originally filed	
		a sequ	uence listing and	l/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.			•	e resulted in the canc	ellation of:
			description, pag claims, Nos.	ges	
		☐ the	drawings, shee		
			sequence listing table(s) related	g <i>(specify)</i> : I to sequence listing <i>(</i> :	specify):
4.	□ had Sup	not be	eport has been e en made, since ntal Box (Rule 70	they have been consi	e of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below dered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the
			description, pag claims, Nos.	ges	
		☐ the	drawings, shee		
			sequence listing table(s) related	g <i>(specify)</i> : I to sequence listing <i>(</i> :	specify):
	*	If it	em 4 applies	s, some or all or	these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694

		c No. III Non-establishment o licability	of op	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
1.	The obv	ne questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- vious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international application,				
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 14-17				
		because:				
	⊠	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 14-17 (Partially, for reasons of industrial applicability) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):				
		see separate sheet				
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):				
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.				
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.				
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:				
		the written form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished		
				does not comply with the standard		
		the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.		
		See separate sheet for further details				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003694

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

6-13, 17, 18

No: Claims

1-5, 14-16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No:

No: Claims

1-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-13, 18 14-17

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 14-17 relate to subject matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT)

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

5.1 For the assessment of the present claims 14-17 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist within the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognise as industrially applicable the subject matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

5.2 Cited Documents

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: SATO YUKIO ET AL: "Adjuvant effect of a 14-member macrolide antibiotic on DNA vaccine" CELLULAR IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 197, no. 2, 1 November 1999 (1999-11-01), pages 145-150, XP002316892 ISSN: 0008-8749
- D2: TOMASIC JELKA ET AL: "The effect of cefodizime and related compounds on humoral immune response in rabbits" ACTA PHARMACEUTICA (ZAGREB), vol. 44, no. 2, 1994, pages 109-116, XP008042733 ISSN: 0354-2971
- D3: WOO PATRICK C Y ET AL: "Antibiotics modulate vaccine-induced humoral immune response" CLINICAL AND DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY IMMUNOLOGY, vol. 6, no. 6, November 1999 (1999-11), pages 832-837, XP002316893 ISSN: 1071-412X
- D4: YANG D ET AL: "Mammalian defensins in immunity: more than just microbicidal" TRENDS IN IMMUNOLOGY, ELSEVIER, CAMBRIDGE, GB, vol. 23, no. 6, 1 June 2002 (2002-06-01), pages 291-296, XP004365772 ISSN: 1471-4906
- D5: CONFER A W ET AL: "Immunogenicity of recombinant Mannheimia haemolytica serotype 1 outer membrane protein PlpE and augmentation of a commercial vaccine" VACCINE, BUTTERWORTH SCIENTIFIC. GUILDFORD, GB, vol. 21, no. 21-22, 20

June 2003 (2003-06-20), pages 2821-2829, XP004429680

5.3 Novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-5, 14-16 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

- 5.4 D1 discloses the adjuvant effect of erythromycin on immune responses elicited by a DNA vaccine (cf the whole document). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-5 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D1.
- 5.5 D2 discloses the adjuvant effect of cefodizime on adaptive immune responses to an exogenous antigen in rabbits (cf the whole document). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-5 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D2
- 5.6 D3 discloses the adjuvant activity of various antibiotics on antigen specific immune responses (cf the whole document). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-5 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D3.
- 5.7 D4 discloses the immunological activity and adjuvant effects of defensins, a class of anti-microbial compounds (see in particular p 293, right-hand column). Consequently, the subject matter of claims 1-4 and 14-16 is not novel with regard to D4.
- 5.8 The subject matter of claims 6-13, 17 and 18 is novel with regard to the prior art.

5.9 Inventive Step

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 6-13, 17 and 18 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 5.10 The closest prior art to claim 6 is D5 which discloses the a subunit vaccine containing an antigen from M. Haemolytica (cf the abstract).
- 5.11 The difference between claim 6 and D5 is that in claim 6 the adjuvant used is an antibiotic.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003694

- 5.12 The problem to be solved is therefore to provide an alternate adjuvant for an M. Haemolytica vaccine.
- 5.13 Claim 6 solves this problem but cannot be considered inventive in light of the prior art. D1 discloses the use of the macrolide erythromycin as a vaccine adjuvant and hence the skilled person would consider it obvious to employ such a molecule as an adjuvant in a vaccine. Claim 6 cannot therefore be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- 5.14 Claims 7-13 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step since they merely represent straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed (Article 33(3) PCT).

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 8.1 Claim 6 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT because the scope of the claim is rendered unclear by use of the non-limiting term "such as".
- 8.2 Claims 15 and 16 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT because the scope of said claims have been rendered unclear by the use of the phrase "...selected from the agents described herein", thus the skilled person is left in doubt as to the nature of the claimed subject matter.